

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,688	08/07/2000	JIMMIE L. JOHNSON	J-135CIP	3622
802	7590 . 08/04/2003			
DELLETT AND WALTERS 310 S.W. FOURTH AVENUE SUITE 1101			EXAMINER	
			MACHUGA, JOSEPH S	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	10
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	Q

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/633,688	JOHNSON, JIMMIE L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph S. Machuga	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-20,28 and 29</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-20, 28 and 29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
		<u> </u>				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/633,688

Art Unit: 3762

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plural constituents recited in claim 28 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 13-19 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith #2275567 in view of Barasch et al 2764983.
- 4. Smith discloses a vial used to prepare a fresh medical solution. The vial includes a container (85), separating member (88, 93), an introducer (96,92), a port (94), and a removable cover (98,99,100.) While not explicitly stated, it is considered obvious that the container is meant to be used with a syringe and in a sterile environment given it's intended use of mixing components of a medical solution such as Novocain.

Application/Control Number: 09/633,688 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

5. Barasch et al discloses a mixing vial. As illustrated in Figure 8 the vial includes a self-sealing stopper through which a needle can pass and a sterile seal can be maintained. The reference also teaches adding a well to the container to assist in withdraw ling all the material from the vial.

- 6. Given Barasch et al disclosure it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a self-sealing style stopper in place of diaphragm 94 in Smiths device to provide a reliable seal at this point. To add a well to the container of Smith's would also have been obvious given Barasch et al's teaching of adding this feature to enable a syringe to withdrawal the last drop of liquid.
- 7. Claims 1,2, 8-11, 13, 14, 19, 20, 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nosik 2721552 in view of Crankshaw et al 4089432.
- 8. Nosik discloses a multi-chamber container having two sterile seals, an introducer and a syringe-receiving portion. Not disclosed by this reference is the removable cover member. Crankshaw et al discloses a vial having removable protective cover to keep contaminants off the stopper. Given Crankshaw et al's teaching it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a protective shield to Nosik's stopper (13) to keep contaminants off. Regarding claim 8 and 20 the position of the stopper (13) in Nosik's device would serve as an indicator.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 8-17, 19, 20 and 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith #2652611 in view of Crankshaw et al 4089432.

Art Unit: 3762

10. Smith discloses a container discloses a container for mixing antibiotics having two seals, introducing member which as illustrated in Figure 5 includes a capsule and a needle port 31'. Not disclosed by this reference is the protective cover. Crankshaw et al discloses a vial having removable protective cover to keep contaminants off a stopper. Given Crankshaw et al's teaching it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a protective shield over the needle port 31' in Smith's container to keep contaminants off. Regarding claims 8 and 20 the change in shape of the container would serve as an indicator.

- 11. Claims 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nosik in view of Crankshaw as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rovinski 3779371.
- 12. Rivinski discloses a typical test tube having a tapered bottom portion that forms a well. The design allows the material to collect at the center bottom of the container. To make Nosik's test tube with a tapered bottom would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art given Rivinski's teaching that this design; which allows material to collect a central point, is old and well know in the art.
- 13. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nosik in view of Crankshaw et al or Smith in view of Barasch et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Baumann et al #3762540.

Baumann et al discloses a mixer having three material chambers. The ingredients to be mixed are maintained in separate compartments until required. Given Baumann et al's

Application/Control Number: 09/633,688

Art Unit: 3762

disclosure it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add three material compartments to Nosik's device or Smiths device to mix more than two ingredients when it's desirable to do so as for example mixing dental material.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith #2132763 is cited to show a mixer having a dispensing feature similar to a blister pack. Hurst et al 3783089 is cited to show a blister pack. Greenburg 2487236, Hsu 5255812 and Bremer et al 4693711, Larson 3872992, Groves 4798287, Magni 3603469 are cited to show related mixers. Lennon et al and Schwartzman are cited to show removable covers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Machuga whose telephone number is 703-305-6184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4520 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 09/633,688

Art Unit: 3762

Loseph 5 Machiga Joseph S. Machuga

angel R. Asky

Examiner

Art Unit 3762

July 24, 2003

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700